

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,918	11/03/2003	Yehuda Cern	2147.013USU	3868
7590 02/28/2006			EXAMINER	
Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.			PARRIES, DRU M	
	y, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.I			
10th Floor	,,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Landmark			2836	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
0.55	10/699,918	CERN, YEHUDA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dru M. Parries	2836					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> .						
<u>'=</u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 9-14</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 200</u>	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> </ul>		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	مرا د مسال ا	v Summanı (DTO 442)					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9</li> </ul>	48) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-15-04.		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Application/Control Number: 10/699,918 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (6,753,742). Kim teaches a signal coupling apparatus comprising a capacitor (103) and switch (201) connected in series, connecting a power line (202) to a grounded circuit (203). The capacitor includes a terminal (102) for connection to the power line.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 6, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (6,753,742) and Face et al. (6,812,594). Kim teaches a coupling apparatus as described above. Kim fails to explicitly teach how the switch is actuated. Face teaches components for remotely actuating switches including using a time delay mechanism (Col. 1, lines 44-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/699,918 Page 3

Art Unit: 2836

invention to remotely actuate the switch in Kim's invention via a time delay mechanism so that planned communications can be scheduled and achieved without the user being there at the time of communication ("set it and forget it").

- 5. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (6,753,742) and Green et al. (4,738,421). Kim teaches a coupling apparatus as described above. Kim fails to explicitly teach how the switch is actuated. Face teaches an insulating cord for actuating a switch (Col. 6, lines 17-19). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an insulating cord to actuate the switch in Kim's invention since normally the switch will be high up (near a power line) so it will make it easier to actuate if there is a cord hanging down from the coupling apparatus.
- 6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (6,753,742) and Kates et al. (6,130,813). Kim teaches a signal coupling apparatus comprising a capacitor (103) and switch (201) connected in series, connecting a power line (202) to a grounded circuit (203). The capacitor includes a terminal (102) for connection to the power line. Kim fails to teach a resistor in parallel with the switch. Kates teaches a bypass resistor in parallel with a switch (115, 110; Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to place a resistor in parallel with the switch in Kim's invention to help reduce in-rush currents when the switch is initially actuated (due to "precharging").

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,918 Page 4

Art Unit: 2836

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the Examiner recognizes that there are circuits with resistors in parallel with switches and/or capacitors but in the context of the present invention there was no motivation found to modify the Kim reference to reject claims 7 or 8.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dru M. Parries whose telephone number is (571) 272-8542. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday from 8:00am to 5:00pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on 571-272-2800 x 36. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DMP

2-17-2006

BRIAN SIRCUS SUPETIVISCRY PATENT EXAMINER